



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING     | DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/518,776               | 03/03/2000 |            | Mark Maggenti        | 000211                  | 5937             |  |
| 23696                    | 7590       | 09/27/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Qualcomm,<br>5775 Moreho |            |            | NGUYEN, THUAN T      |                         |                  |  |
| San Diego, (             |            |            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                          |            |            |                      | 2685                    | 2685             |  |
|                          |            |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 00/549 770  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | 09/518,776<br>Examiner  | MAGGENTI ET AL. Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAIL DIO DATE (4)  | THUAN T. NGUYEN   | 2685   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic         <ul> <li>(a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I period for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | Mailing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on _           | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does  |   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37   | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                                   | mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for                      |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).                 |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).                        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>   | s received on (with a Certificateriod for payment of the issue fee (and | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated<br>nd publication fee) set in the Notice of |  |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balanc  | e of \$ is due.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  | The publication fee, if required by 37                                  | CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n  | ot been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requested.</li> <li>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>  | uired by, and within the three-month                                    | period set in, the Notice of   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>  | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar                                | nsmission dated), which is   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.  | e attorney or agent of record, the ass                                  | signee of the entire interest, or all of   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres                                 | sentative capacity under 37 CFR  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim   | rence rendered on and becaus<br>ms.                                     | se the period for seeking court review   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:  |   | •  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathcal{C}$   | 2  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | EDWAR   | RD F. UABAN  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | PATENT EXAMINER  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TECHNOLO  | GY CENTER 2800   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office   | of Abandonment  | Part of Paper No. 91605  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | . ait vi i abci NU. 31003  |  |  |  |  |